

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/614,723 | MATSUSHITA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Kara E. Geisel | Art Unit 2877 |

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Kara E. Geisel. (3) _____. _____

(2) Thomas Scherer, attorney of record. (4) _____. _____

Date of Interview: 3 August 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

2, 11-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An examiner's amendment proposed to claim 2, and claims 11-12 were cancelled in order to put the case in condition for allowance. See Office Action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)